F9ueburc 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y. 4 15 CR 73 (RMB) v. 5 EVGENY BURYAKOV, 6 Defendant. 7 -----x 8 September 30, 2015 9 11:24 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. RICHARD M. BERMAN, 12 District Judge 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the 16 Southern District of New York BY: ADAM FEE 17 ANNA SKOTKO Assistant United States Attorneys 18 WHITE & CASE 19 Attorneys for Defendant BY: SCOTT HERSHMAN 20 DANIEL LEVIN KELLY NEWMAN 21 RUSSIAN INTERPRETER: Yana Agoureev 22 23 24 25

(In open court)

THE COURT: So we have our standby interpreter, and we're using that standby process again, is that correct?

MR. HERSHMAN: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. I have us down for a status conference. I'm happy to hear first from the government, then from Mr. Hershman, or reverse order, if you prefer.

MR. FEE: I'll give the first report, your Honor.

The parties submitted a letter last night, which I know your Honor received.

THE COURT: I not only received it but I endorsed it.

MR. FEE: We saw that this morning. Thank you, your Honor.

Essentially at this point, with plea discussions having concluded today with finality --

THE COURT: You said "with finality"?

MR. FEE: Yes, your Honor. The parties have looked forward towards the December 7th trial date. And in our discussions we have both identified what we view as potentially significant timing issues relating to pretrial litigation and potentially depositions. They were outlined in the letter. I can go into them again, your Honor, but essentially there are CIPA filings, classified filings, to be made by both parties.

The defense has indicated they are not yet ready to set a schedule. And to be fair to them, that's understandable,

because some of the classified discovery was only recently produced yesterday, and again, a government motion in that sense as well. The defense has indicated they're not yet ready to set that schedule and actually need some meaningful additional period to be able to report on the schedule.

The defense has also indicated that they wish to seek to take depositions abroad of more than one witness. And again, your Honor, they have not been yet able to report exactly what they want to do. And they are asking for some additional time to set a schedule for Rule 15 litigation; understandably again, your Honor, but, of course, to state the obvious, the litigation on Rule 15 as compared to CIPA is not as time consuming. But of course if depositions are permitted to be taken, there is some additional logistics there.

This is all to say, your Honor, that both parties have discussed this, and I think the shared view is that some meaningful adjournment of the trial date is likely, in the interest of the parties and their preference, obviously subject to the Court's schedule and judgment.

What the parties were discussing as a potential area for new dates, again, not knowing the Court's schedule, was a late February or early March trial date.

THE COURT: 2016?

MR. FEE: Correct, your Honor.

And just so the Court has the information, a

conservative estimate for the government's case is three to four weeks. And that is the broadest, without stipulations. It could certainly narrow some.

THE COURT: Well, so if I remember correctly, I set the trial date back in July. And I did that to accommodate the defense, for obvious reasons; the defendant is incarcerated and is entitled to speedy trial. And I was trying to accommodate the defense at that time. And so that's when we all agreed on a December trial date.

But if you have something else in mind, Mr. Hershman, let's hear about it.

MR. HERSHMAN: Thanks, your Honor.

First, let me introduce my partner, Dan Levin, who is here for the first time.

MR. LEVIN: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Nice to see you.

MR. HERSHMAN: Mr. Fee is right with respect to the fact that we have been communicating about these issues and the trial date of December 7th. We just got handed yesterday a significant amount of classified material, which obviously is going to take us a considerable amount of time to sift through. And we are going to begin that in earnest this morning. That, I think, makes it somewhat difficult for us to set at least a schedule as amongst ourselves for when we would want to make the CIPA motions.

I think we would be ready to make that decision sometime the week of October -- I think it's the 19th, your Honor, that week. So maybe we were talking about setting another status conference for October 22nd. I don't know. This was independent of looking at the Court's calendar, but we just arbitrarily chose that date. At which point I think then, or even before, we would be in a position to have a more firm discussion with the government about the CIPA schedule, as well as the Rule 15 situation and any necessary motions there.

Of course, assuming we do that, given the length of time that the CIPA practice will take, it seems impossible for us to begin the trial on December 7th. So accordingly, we have discussed with the government changing that, asking the Court to change that date.

THE COURT: So you want a trial in February or March?

MR. HERSHMAN: March, I think, would be realistic.

THE COURT: 2016?

MR. HERSHMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: That's okay with Mr. Buryakov?

MR. HERSHMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Well, you know, if that's what you want, I certainly can accommodate -- let's hear about these depositions, though. Are they in Russia, is that the point?

MR. HERSHMAN: At the moment that's the point, your Honor. We will be in a better position I think to inform both

the government and the Court of the number of depositions, and also some procedural issues around them, on the 22nd, or whenever we can have a conference.

THE COURT: I see.

MR. HERSHMAN: I think that would be a better point for us to have a more substantive discussion about that. We are exploring every option and alternative available to us, but we need some time to do that.

THE COURT: Okay. So I would prefer a little bit earlier for this October conference so we get things moving.

And I would prefer if you can on October 14 -- it's about a week earlier than you suggested -- at 11:30.

MR. HERSHMAN: Sorry, your Honor. I'm not going to be here that week. I appreciate that you want to do it a little earlier.

THE COURT: Since this is a scheduling thing, could counsel, you know, fill in for you for that purpose?

MR. HERSHMAN: Well, certainly we can send someone else. The problem would be what information they would have by that date.

THE COURT: I see. So you're out the 14th?

MR. HERSHMAN: Just that week.

THE COURT: Are you back on the 19th?

MR. HERSHMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: So let's say October 19th at 11:30. And I

hope that you'll be prepared at that time, both sides, to resolve all these -- well, they're not that many, but the CIPA schedule and the deposition schedule, etc., etc., so we can firm up the trial?

MR. FEE: The government's intent and hope is that we'll have a schedule agreed upon before we walk in the door. And perhaps we even can report it to the Court in advance and have a brief conference thereafter, if necessary.

THE COURT: So I'm going to move, then, the trial date to April 4. That's the earliest I can do it, in light of my trial schedule. I can't do February or March, but I can do April 4, and give you as much time as you need. And then the pretrial submissions that we had talked about in anticipation of the December trial, we had set a November date. So I'm happy to set March 1st as the date for pretrial submissions. And we'll leave CIPA and the depositions for the October 19th conference, if that's okay with all of you.

Is there a speedy trial issue or application that takes us to April 4, 2016?

MR. FEE: Your Honor, the government would ask that the Court exclude time in the interest of justice from the Speedy Trial Act through April 4, '16, so the defense can engage in all of the matters we've discussed here, including CIPA briefing, Rule 15 briefing potentially, as well as to prepare for trial.

THE COURT: Right. I take it the defense has no objection to that, since it's primarily their request to go further?

MR. HERSHMAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to find under 18,
United States Code, Section 3161 that the request for
adjournment of the trial date to and including April 4, 2016,
joined in by both sides is appropriate and warrants exclusion
of the adjourn time from speedy trial calculations. I further
find that the exclusion is designed to prevent any possible
miscarriage of justice, to facilitate these proceedings,
including the steps that need to be taken in anticipation of
going to trial, including more discovery perhaps, and to
guarantee effective representation of and preparation by
counsel for both parties. And thus the need for exclusion and
the ends of justice outweigh the interest of the public and the
defendant in a speedy trial pursuant to 18, U.S.C., Section
3161(h) (7) (A) and (B).

And so our conference, then, our next conference is October 19, 2015, at 11:30. And there will be no need, then, for our currently scheduled December 1st pretrial conference and our December 7th trial date. So vacate those two dates.

Is that agreeable to everybody?

MR. HERSHMAN: Yes.

MR. FEE: Yes, your Honor.

F9ueburc THE COURT: Okay. Any other issues that we need to discuss? MR. FEE: Not from the government, your Honor. MR. HERSHMAN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Nice to see you all. Thanks very much. (Adjourned)